

No. 7593-4Lab-75/25147.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Rohtak, in respect of the dispute between the workman and the management of M/s National Tube Industries, Ballabgarh:—

BEFORE SHRI MOHAN LAL JAIN, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT, HARYANA,  
ROHTAK

Reference No. 71 of 1972

between

SHRI RAMPHER SINGH AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S NATIONAL TUBE INDUSTRIES,  
BALLABGARH

Present :

Nemo for the workman.

Nemo for the management.

#### AWARD

By order No. ID/FD/745-C-71/6024, dated the 18th February, 1972, of the Governor of Haryana, the following dispute between the management of M/s National Tube Industries, Ballabgarh and its workman Shri Rampher Singh was referred for adjudication to this court, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) sub-section (I) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947:—

“Whether the termination of services of Shri Rampher Singh was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?”

It would appear that the reference was made as far back as on 18th February, 1972. The history of the case as found from the orders made from time to time by the court discloses that the respondent M/s National Tube Industries, Ballabgarh were not available on the address as supplied by the workman despite repeated attempts having been made for their service and the petitioner workman did not supply their correct address despite being asked to do so 4-5 times.

It seems that the worker is not interested in pursuing his case and the demand made by him on the Management of M/s National Tube Industries, Ballabgarh through the Vice-President, General Engineering Mazdoor Union, Faridabad is unreasonable and unjustified. I, therefore, hold that the worker is not interested in pursuing his demand made by him on the management and the same is unreasonable and unjustified. The award is answered accordingly.

Dated 23rd July, 1975.

MOHAN LAL JAIN,

Presiding Officer,  
Labour Court, Haryana,  
Rohtak.

No. 9196-4Lab-75/25151.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Rohtak, in respect of the dispute between the workman and the management of M/s Two A Asbestos (P) Ltd., Bahadurgarh.

BEFORE SHRI MOHAN LAL JAIN, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT,  
HARYANA, ROHTAK

Reference No. 67 of 1974

between

SHRI SARJOO AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S TWO A ASBESTOS (P) LTD., BAHADURGARH

Present :

Shri Rajinder Singh for the workman.

Nemo, for the management.

#### AWARD

By order No. ID/RK/287-A-74/31113-17, dated 11th September, 1974 of the Governor of Haryana the following dispute between the management of M/s Two A Asbestos (P) Ltd., Bahadurgarh and its

workman Shri Sarjoo was referred for adjudication to this Court, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947:—

“Whether the termination of services of Shri Sarjoo was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?”

Notices of the reference having been sent to the parties, Shri Rajinder Singh representative for the workman appeared on 20th December, 1974 and filed the statement of claim on behalf of the workman. None having appeared for the management on this date, despite service of the notice, *ex parte* proceedings were taken against them.

The workman was directed to adduce his *ex parte* evidence in support of the statement of claim filed by him on 11th February, 1974. Shri Rajinder Singh appearing for the workman on this date prayed for an adjournment to adduce his *ex parte* evidence with the result that the case was adjourned to 3rd April, 1975 on his request.

Neither the workman nor any of his witnesses was present even on 3rd April, 1975 and on a request made by his representative for adjournment to produce *ex parte* evidence, the case was again adjourned to 7th May, 1975.

Shri O. P. Sharma my learned predecessor having cancelled his tour to Bahadurgarh on 7th May, 1975 for some reasons, the case was fixed for today with an intimation to that effect to the workman.

Shri Rajinder Singh representative for the workman is present before me today. He has made a statement that the workman could not be available despite best efforts made by him in this connection and that he under the circumstances has to unavoidably withdraw the demand made by the workman on the management and subsequently referred to this court for adjudication by the Governor of Haryana.

It would appear that neither the management nor the workman is interested in pursuing their case, so much so, a statement has been made on behalf of the workman in respect of the withdrawal of the demand.

I as such hold that there is now no dispute between the parties requiring adjudication. I in consequences return a no dispute award with no order as to costs.

MOHAN LAL JAIN,

Presiding Officer,  
Labour Court, Haryana,  
Rohtak.

Dated 6th August, 1975.

No. 1822, dated the 6th August, 1975

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

MOHAN LAL JAIN,

Presiding Officer,  
Labour Court, Haryana,  
Rohtak.

No. 7597-4 Lab-75/25154.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Rohtak, in respect of the dispute between the workman and the management of M/s Sunbeam Corporation, Mathura Road, Faridabad.

BEFORE SHRI MOHAN LAL JAIN, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT, HARYANA,  
ROHTAK

Reference No. 174 of 1971

between

SHRI SWAMI NATH AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S SUNBEAM CORPORATION,  
MATHURA ROAD, FARIDABAD

Present :

Nemo for the workman.

Nemo for the management.



## AWARD

By order No. ID/FD/29072-76, dated 23rd September, 1971 of the Governor of Haryana, the following dispute between the management of M/s Sunbeam Corporation, Mathura Road, Faridabad, and its workman Shri Swami Nath was referred for adjudication to this court in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947:—

“Whether the termination of services of Shri Swami Nath was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?”

It would appear that the reference was made as far back as on 5th October, 1971. The history of the case as found from the orders made from time to time by the court discloses that the respondent M/s Sunbeam Corporation, Mathura Road, Faridabad were not available on the address, as supplied by the workman despite repeated attempts having been made for their service and the petitioner worker did not supply their correct address despite being asked to do so 6-7 times.

It seems that the worker is not interested in pursuing his case and the demand made by him on the management of M/s Sunbeam Corporation, Mathura Road, Faridabad through the Vice President General Engineering Mazdoor Union, Regd., Faridabad is unreasonable and unjustified. I, therefore, hold that the worker is not interested in pursuing his demand made by him on the management and the same is unreasonable and unjustified. The reference is, therefore, answered accordingly.

MOHAN LAL JAIN,  
Labour Court, Haryana,  
Rohtak.

Dated 23rd July, 1975.

No. 1666, dated 23rd July, 1975

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government of Haryana, Labour & Employment Departments, Chandigarh as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

MOHAN LAL JAIN,  
Labour Court, Haryana,  
Rohtak.

Dated 23rd July, 1975.

No. 7591-4Lab-75/25156.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Rohtak, in respect of the dispute between the workman and the management of M/s Natural Engineering Works, Faridabad :—

BEFORE SHRI MOHAN LAL JAIN, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT, HARYANA,  
ROHTAK

Reference No. 184 of 1972

between

SHRI BINDESHWARI PRASAD MANDAL AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S NATURAL  
ENGINEERING WORKS, FARIDABAD

Present .—

Nemo, for the workmen.

Nemo, for the management.

## AWARD

By order No. ID/FD/72/21943-47, dated 20th June, 1972, of the Governor of Haryana, the following dispute between the management, of M/s Natural Engineering Works, Faridabad and its workman Shri Bindeshwari Prasad Mandal was forwarded for adjudication to this court, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947:—

“Whether the termination of services of Shri Bindeshwari Prasad Mandal was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?”

It would appear that the reference was made as far back as on 28th May, 1972. The history of the case as found from the orders made from time to time by the court discloses that the respondent M/s Natural Engineering Works, Faridabad were not enalable on the address as supplied by the workman, despite repeated attempts having been made for their service and the workman did not supply their correct address despite being asked to do so 6-7 times.

It seems that the worker is not interested in pursuing his case and the demand made by him on the management through Vice President, General Engineering Mazdoor Union, Faridabad is unreasonable and un-justified.

I, therefore, hold that the worker is not interested in pursuing the demand made by him on the management and the same is unreasonable and un-justified. The award is answered accordingly.

MOHAN LAL JAIN,

Presiding Officer,  
Labour Court, Haryana,  
Rohtak.

Dated the 23rd July, 1975.

No. 7594-4Lab-75/25158.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Rohtak, in respect of the dispute between the workman and the management of M/s Associated Steel Industries (P) Ltd., Faridabad :—

BEFORE SHRI MOHAN LAL JAIN, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT, HARYANA,  
ROHTAK

Reference No. 220 of 1971

*between*

SHRI JAGDISH AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S ASSOCIATED STEEL INDUSTRIES (P)  
LTD., FARIDABAD

*Present—*

Nemo, for the workman.

Nemo, for the management.

#### AWARD

By order No. ID/FD/691-A-71/32330-34, dated 4th November, 1971 of the Governor of Haryana, the following dispute between the management of M/s Associated Steel Industries (P) Ltd., Faridabad and its workman Shri Jagdish was referred for adjudication to this Court in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 :—

“Whether the termination of services of Shri Jagdish was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?”

It would appear that the reference was made as far back as on 4th November, 1971. The history of the case as found from the orders made from time to time by the court discloses that the respondent M/s Associated Steel Industries (P) Ltd., Faridabad were not available on the address as supplied by the workman despite repeated attempts having been made for their service and the petitioner worker did not supply their correct address despite being asked to do so 4-5 times.

It seems that the worker is not interested in pursuing his case and the demand made by him on the management through the Vice President, General Engineering Mazdoor Union, Faridabad, is unreasonable and un-justified. I, therefore hold that the worker is not interested in pursuing his demand made by him on the management of M/s Associated Steel Industries (P) Ltd., Faridabad and the same is unreasonable and unjustified. The award is made accordingly.

MOHAN LAL JAIN,

Presiding Officer,  
Labour Court, Haryana,  
Rohtak.

Dated 23rd July, 1975.

No. 1663, dated 23rd July, 1975

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government of Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

MOHAN LAL JAIN,  
Presiding Officer,  
Labour Court, Haryana,  
Rohtak.